



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,662	09/622,662 10/19/2000		Hidehiko Shin	32908	2713	
116	7590	02/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PEARNE &		-	LUU,	LUU, SY D		
SUITE 1200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108				2174		
				DATE MAILED: 02/07/2004	ς .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/622,662	SHIN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Sy D Luu	2174

Dorotto the Finning of the Appeal Direct	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sy D Luu	2174					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, veal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR are reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-	in the Country is attacked to the	ishawa in Inton da				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App	oal, but prior to the date of filing an	annual brief. The Net	ion of Annual				
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(b)) has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of				
							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
	. ,,		(DTOL 004)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· '						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			Ī				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s))				
		13/	suy/				
		SY D. LU U	FD				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

, Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Kyoichi does not teach/disclose an anchor with an attribute corresponding to the selection means, and thus Kyoichi does not teach/disclose attribute selection judgement means for judging that such an anchor is selected or attribute activation judgement means for judging that such an anchor is activated.

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons. By disclosing in the abstract that "display data for calling the <u>input of figure key</u> are inserted by the HTML data control means 105 and displayed on the display means 106, and <u>link corresponding to the figure key input</u> due to user detected by the user input detecting means 108 is executed...the HTML data control means 105 executes move to the link destination corresponding to the user input..." (emphasis noted), and as depicted in figure 9, Kyoichi teaches that HTML hyperlinks or anchors are assigned/associated with input figure/numeric keys. These figures/numbers are considered to be <u>attributes</u> corresponding to the selection means, which in this case would be the figure/numeric keys that the user uses to make an input selection. Based on the user input selection of a figure/numeric key, selection and activation judgements are made to determine which associated anchor is selected as well as performing data acquistion from a server for the corresponding link destination accordingly.